

# Judge Rewrites Carnahan's Misleading Ballot Summary!

## THE MISSOURI CURES WITHOUT CLONING INITIATIVE

The actual proposed amendment that will close the human cloning loopholes in our constitution.

*Be it resolved by the people of the state of Missouri that the Constitution be amended: One new section is adopted by adding one new section to be known as Section 38(e) of Article III, to read as follows:*

1. **It shall be unlawful to clone or attempt to clone a human being** as that term is defined in subsection 2 of this section. Researchers may conduct stem cell research to discover cures for disease and develop stem cell therapies and cures, provided that the research complies with the limitations of this section and the limitations of Section 38(d). The prohibition of this section shall be in addition to the prohibitions of Section 38(d).
2. For all purposes within this article, "Clone or attempt to clone a human being" means create or attempt to create a human embryo at any stage, which shall include the one-cell stage onward, by any means other than fertilization of a human egg by a human sperm.
3. **No taxpayer dollars shall be expended:** (1) to clone or attempt to clone a human being; or (2) to research or experiment using a human embryo, or any part of a human embryo, derived from cloning or attempting to clone a human being.

<p><b><u>NEW</u> OFFICIAL BALLOT TITLE CERTIFIED BY JUDGE JOYCE</b></p>	<p><b><del>"UNFAIR" OFFICIAL BALLOT TITLE AS CERTIFIED BY SECRETARY OF STATE</del></b></p>
<p>Should the Missouri Constitution be amended to change the definition of cloning and ban some of the research as approved by voters in November, 2006 by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• prohibiting human cloning that is conducted by creating a human embryo at any stage from the one-cell stage forward;</li> <li>• prohibiting expenditure of taxpayer dollars on research or experimentation on human cloning; and</li> <li>• allowing stem cell research for therapies and cures that complies with these prohibitions and the prohibitions of Section 38(d) of the Constitution?</li> </ul> <p>This proposal could have a significant negative fiscal impact on state and local governmental entities due to its prohibition of certain research activities. However, the total costs to state and local governmental entities are unknown.</p>	<p>Shall the Missouri Constitution <b>be amended to repeal the current ban on human cloning or attempted cloning and to limit Missouri patients' access to stem cell research, therapies and cures approved by voters in November 2006</b> by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>redefining the ban on human cloning or attempted cloning to criminalize and impose civil penalties</b> for some currently allowed research, therapies and cures; and</li> <li>• <b>prohibiting hospitals or other institutions</b> from using public funds to conduct such research?</li> </ul> <p>This proposal <b>could have a significant negative fiscal impact</b> on state and local governmental entities due to its prohibition of certain research activities. However, the total costs to state and local governmental entities are unknown.</p>

Judge Patricia Joyce ruled that "The ballot language summary in this case is **insufficient and unfair.**" Visit [www.MOcureswithoutcloning.com](http://www.MOcureswithoutcloning.com) for more information. Paid for by Cures Without Cloning, Steve Rupp Treasurer